received by the Chief Labour Commissioner (Central), New Delhi from Parmanu Vidyut Karmachari Union (CITU), Ravatbhata about recognition of a union without proper verification; and

(b) if so, the steps taken by the Chief Labour Commissioner to set right the said irregularity by the management?

THE MINISTER OF LABOUR AND WELFARE (SHRI RAM VILAS PASWAN): (a) Yes, Sir. The Chief Labour Commissioner (Central) had received a letter from the Hon'ble Member of Parliament forwarding a copy of the representation dated 16-11-89 from the Parmanu Vidyut Karmachari Union, Ravatbhata regarding recognition of a union in the Rajasthan Atomic Power Project.

(b) The Chief Labour Commissioner informed the Hon'ble Member of Parliament by his letter dated December 14, 1989 that since the establishment fell in the State sphere, the Hon'ble Member of Parliament might consider taking up the matter with the Government of Rajasthan.

Corruption in DRM's Office N.F. Railway Varanasi

4. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of RAILWAYS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have received a number of representations from an employee of DRM's office, North Eastern Railway, Varanasi about alleged corruption in DRM's office;

(b) whether action has been taken to impartially enquire into the corruption charges;

(c) if so, the details thereof;

(d) whether it is a fact that the complainant has been transferred for having pointed out the corruption; and

(e) if so, whether the transfer of the complainant is being cancelled?

THE MINISTER OF RAILWAYS (SHRI GEORGE FERNANDES): (a) and (b) Yes Sir.

(c) On investigation by the Vigilance Organisation certain lapses came to light. As a result thereof, disciplinary action was taken against an officer. He was also transferred.

(d) and (e) No, Sir. The complainant was transferred out of Varanasi on administrative grounds. Nevertheless, instructions have been issued to the Railway to transfer him back to that station.

Cadre review of Group C and D Staff of CPWD

5. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether cadre review in respect of Group 'A' and 'B' staff in the CPWD was completed long back;

(b) whether the question of restructuring of cadre (Cadre review) in respect of Group 'C' and 'D' staff in the CPWD is pending since long causing burden on the existing staff and affecting their efficiency;

(c) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(d) the steps being taken by Government to expendite the cadre review in respect of Group 'C' and 'D' staff?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) The first cadre review in respect of the Central Engineering & Mechanical Services Group 'A', in the CPWD was finalised in 1985, and that in respect of the Central Architect Service Group 'A' was finalised in 1987 and the relevant Service Rules issued in October 1989. No cadre review in respect of the Group 'B' organised services in the CPWD has so far been conducted.